



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/821,136	04/08/2004	Viktors Berstis	AUS920040214US1	8956
50170	7590	12/27/2007		
IBM CORP. (WIP)			EXAMINER	
c/o WALDER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW, P.C.			ALAM, MUSHFIKH I	
P.O. BOX 832745				
RICHARDSON, TX 75083			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/821,136

Applicant(s)

BERSTIS, VIKTORS

Examiner

Mushfikh Alam

Art Unit

2623

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mushfikh Alam.(3) Stephen Walder.(2) Andrew Y. Koenig.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 December 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: Proposed amendment to claim 1.Identification of prior art discussed: Maissel of record.Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant discussed the invention with respect to coarse (RTSS) and precision (PSS) screening, the applicant discussed the proposed amendment and the examiners suggested potential language. Providing the applicant amends the claims as discussed, it would appear to overcome Maissel of record, in the event that Maissel will be maintained under to identical grounds of rejection, the examiner will notify the applicant to help expedite prosecution.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


ANDREW Y. KOENIG
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required